

In[1]:= Remove["Global`\*"]

# Sensitivity of Joint Estimation in Multi-Agent Iterative Learning Control

Angela Schöllig and Raffaello D'Andrea

October 2010

Note that we use the Latin letters  $a, b, d, e, eNom, g, L, p11$ , and  $p11Perfect$  to represent  $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \epsilon, \bar{\epsilon}, \gamma, \lambda, p_j^{(1,1)}$ , and  $*p_j^{(1,1)}$ , respectively, in the formulas below.

## Section 4.1: Variance of Disturbance Estimate

Variance of the disturbance estimate in the ideal case assuming  $\epsilon = \bar{\epsilon}$ , expressed in terms of  $\gamma$  and  $\epsilon$ .

In[2]:= p11Perfect = FullSimplify[(a + b + j b^2 + j N a b) / ((1 + j b) (1 + j b + j N a)) /. {a -> e g, b -> (1 - e) g}]

Out[2]= 
$$\frac{g (-1 + (-1 + e) g j (1 + e (-1 + N)))}{(-1 + (-1 + e) g j) (1 + g j (1 + e (-1 + N)))}$$

### Proposition 1:

Variance of the disturbance estimate in the general case  $\epsilon \neq \bar{\epsilon}$ . (This expression is derived and proven in the Appendix, at the bottom of this document.)

In[3]:= p11 = FullSimplify[FactorTerms[(g (1 + (3 j + ((N - 2) \* 2 j - (N - 1) 2 j d) eNom - j (N - 1) eNom^2) g - j^2 (-3 + 4 (-N - 2) + (N - 1) / 2 d) eNom + (- (N - 3)^2 + N + 2 + (N - 1) (N - 2) d) eNom^2 + (N - 1) (N - 2) eNom^3) g^2 + j^3 (1 + (N - 2) eNom - (N - 1) eNom^2)^2 g^3) / ((1 - j (-1 + eNom) g)^2 (1 + (j + j (N - 1) eNom) g)^2)]]

Out[3]= 
$$\frac{(g ((-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j) (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N))) (-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N))) - d eNom g j (2 + g j (2 + eNom (-2 + N))) (-1 + N))) / ((-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2 (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2)}$$

Dividing  $p_j^{(1,1)}$  into  $f_j(\gamma, \bar{\epsilon}, N)$  and  $g_j(\gamma, \bar{\epsilon}, N)$ .

In[4]:= fj = FullSimplify[p11 /. {d -> 0}]

Out[4]= 
$$\frac{g (-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j) (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))}$$

In[5]:= gj = FullSimplify[D[p11, d] \* (-1)]

Out[5]= 
$$\frac{eNom g^2 j (2 + g j (2 + eNom (-2 + N))) (-1 + N)}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2 (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2}$$

In[6]:= check = FullSimplify[p11 - (fj - d \* gj)]

Out[6]= 0

*Independent Estimation* with  $N=1$  results in equal variances for both cases, the perfect knowledge case with  $\epsilon = \bar{\epsilon}$  and the general case  $\epsilon \neq \bar{\epsilon}$ .

In[7]:= p11PerfectIndep = FullSimplify[p11Perfect /. {N -> 1}]

Out[7]= 
$$\frac{g}{1 + g j}$$

```
In[8]:= p11Indep = FullSimplify[p11 /. {N → 1}]
```

$$\text{Out[8]} = \frac{g}{1 + g j}$$

with

```
In[9]:= FullSimplify[D[p11Indep, j]]
```

$$\text{Out[9]} = -\frac{g^2}{(1 + g j)^2}$$

*Joint Estimation* shows a larger variance in the case of  $\epsilon \neq \bar{\epsilon}$ .

```
In[10]:= diff = FullSimplify[p11 - p11Perfect /. e → (eNom + d)]
```

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Out[10]} = & -\left(d^2 g^2 j \right. \\ & \left. (1 + g j (3 + g j (3 + g j + eNom (d (2 + g j (2 + eNom (-2 + N)) + eNom (3 + g j (3 + eNom (-2 + N))))) (-1 + N))) \right. \\ & \left. (-1 + N) \right) / \left( (-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2 (-1 + (-1 + d + eNom) g j) \right. \\ & \left. (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2 (1 - (-1 + d + eNom) g j + (d + eNom) g j N) \right) \end{aligned}$$

The difference is positive for  $\delta, \gamma, \bar{\epsilon}, j > 0$ ,  $N > 1$ , and  $\delta + \bar{\epsilon} \leq 1$ .

-- Partial derivative of  $p_j^{(1,1)}$  with respect to  $\delta$  and  $\epsilon$ .

```
In[11]:= FullSimplify[D[p11, d]]
```

$$\text{Out[11]} = -\frac{eNom g^2 j (2 + g j (2 + eNom (-2 + N))) (-1 + N)}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2 (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2}$$

```
In[12]:= FullSimplify[D[p11 /. d → e - eNom, e]]
```

$$\text{Out[12]} = -\frac{eNom g^2 j (2 + g j (2 + eNom (-2 + N))) (-1 + N)}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2 (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2}$$

-- Limit behavior of  $f_j(\gamma, \bar{\epsilon}, N)$  for  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

```
In[13]:= FullSimplify[D[fj, j]]
```

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Out[13]} = & \left( g^2 \left( -1 + eNom^2 - 2 (-1 + eNom)^2 g j - (-1 + eNom)^4 g^2 j^2 + \right. \right. \\ & \left. eNom (-eNom + 2 (-1 + eNom) g j + 2 (-1 + eNom)^3 g^2 j^2) N - (-1 + eNom)^2 eNom^2 g^2 j^2 N^2 \right) / \\ & \left( (-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2 (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

```
In[14]:= FullSimplify[Limit[fj, j → Infinity]]
```

$$\text{Out[14]} = 0$$

-- Limit behavior of  $p_j^{(1,1)}$  for  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\bar{\epsilon} \neq 1$ .

```
In[15]:= FullSimplify[Limit[p11, j → Infinity]]
```

$$\text{Out[15]} = 0$$

-- Limit of  $p_j^{(1,1)}$  for  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\bar{\epsilon} = 1$ , denoted by  $\ell(\gamma, \delta, N)$ .

\* Note that in this case,  $\delta$  is smaller than zero,  $-1 \leq \delta \leq 0$ . \*

```
In[16]:= ell = FullSimplify[Limit[p11 /. eNom → 1, j → Infinity]]
```

$$\text{Out[16]} = d g \left( -1 + \frac{1}{N} \right)$$

```
In[17]:= FullSimplify[D[ell, N]]
```

$$\text{Out[17]} = -\frac{d g}{N^2}$$

In[18]:= **FullSimplify**[**D**[e11, d]]

$$\text{Out[18]} = g \left( -1 + \frac{1}{N} \right)$$

In[19]:= **FullSimplify**[**D**[e11, g]]

$$\text{Out[19]} = d \left( -1 + \frac{1}{N} \right)$$

In[20]:= **FullSimplify**[**Limit**[e11 /. d → -1, N → Infinity]]

Out[20]= g

## Section 4.2: Performance Index

Performance index  $\ast R$  in the ideal case assuming  $\epsilon = \bar{\epsilon}$ .

In[21]:= **Rperfect** = **FullSimplify**[**Together**[(p11PerfectIndep + L) / (p11Perfect + L)]]

$$\text{Out[21]} = - \frac{(-1 + (-1 + e) g j) (g + L + g j L) (1 + g j (1 + e (-1 + N)))}{(1 + g j) (L + g (1 + j L (2 + e (-2 + N))) - (-1 + e) g j (1 + j L) (1 + e (-1 + N)))}$$

In[22]:= **FullSimplify**[**Limit**[**Rperfect** /. {e → 1, L → 0}, j → Infinity]]

Out[22]= N

In[23]:= **FullSimplify**[**Limit**[**Rperfect**, j → Infinity]]

Out[23]= 1

Performance index  $R$  in the general case assuming  $\epsilon \neq \bar{\epsilon}$ .

In[24]:= **R** = **FullSimplify**[(p11Indep + L) / (p11 + L)]

$$\text{Out[24]} = \frac{\frac{g}{1+g j} + L}{L + \frac{g ((-1+(-1+eNom) g j) (1+g j (1+eNom (-1+N))) (-1+(-1+eNom) g j (1+eNom (-1+N))) - d eNom g j (2+g j (2+eNom (-2+N))) (-1+N))}{(-1+(-1+eNom) g j)^2 (1+g j (1+eNom (-1+N)))^2}}$$

**Lemma 2:**

-- Limit behavior of  $R$  for  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\bar{\epsilon} \neq 1$ .

In[25]:= **FullSimplify**[**Limit**[**R**, j → Infinity]]

Out[25]= 1

-- Limit of  $R$  for  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\bar{\epsilon} = 1$ , denoted by  $\ell_R(\gamma, N, \delta, \lambda)$ .

**\* Note that in this case,  $\delta$  is smaller than zero,  $-1 \leq \delta \leq 0$ . \***

In[26]:= **e11R** = **FullSimplify**[**Limit**[**R** /. eNom → 1, j → Infinity]]

$$\text{Out[26]} = \frac{L N}{d g - d g N + L N}$$

In[27]:= **FullSimplify**[**D**[e11R, N]]

$$\text{Out[27]} = \frac{d g L}{(d g (-1 + N) - L N)^2}$$

In[28]:= **FullSimplify**[**D**[e11R, d]]

$$\text{Out[28]} = \frac{g L (-1 + N) N}{(L N + d (g - g N))^2}$$

In[29]:= **FullSimplify**[**Limit**[**e11R** /. **d** → **-1**, **N** → **Infinity**]]

$$\text{Out[29]} = \frac{L}{g + L}$$

**Lemma 3:**

The non-positive partial derivative below allows us to state the implication (59).

In[30]:= **FullSimplify**[**D**[**fj**, **N**]]

$$\text{Out[30]} = \frac{eNom^2 g^2 j}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j) (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2}$$

Partial derivative of R with respect to  $\bar{\epsilon}$ .

In[31]:= **FullSimplify**[**D**[**R** /. **d** → **e - eNom**, **eNom**]]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Out[31]} = & - \left( 2 (e - eNom) g^2 j \left( \frac{g}{1 + g j} + L \right) (1 + g j (3 + g j (3 + g j + eNom^2 (3 + g j (3 + eNom (-2 + N))) (-1 + N))) \right) \right. \\ & \left. (-1 + N) \right) / \left( (-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^3 (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^3 \right. \\ & \left. (L + (g ((-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j) (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N))) (-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))) - \right. \\ & \left. (e - eNom) eNom g j (2 + g j (2 + eNom (-2 + N))) (-1 + N))) / \right. \\ & \left. ((-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2 (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4:**

To derive the inequality (61), we use  $\delta = \epsilon - \bar{\epsilon}$ . The right side of the inequality is

In[32]:= **IneqR** = **FullSimplify**[(**fj** - (**fj** /. **N** → **1**) + **eNom gj**) / **gj**]

$$\text{Out[32]} = \frac{eNom (1 + g j (2 + g j (1 + eNom^2 (-1 + N))))}{(1 + g j) (2 + g j (2 + eNom (-2 + N)))}$$

and therefore  $h_j(\gamma, \bar{\epsilon}, N)$

In[33]:= **hj** = **IneqR** / **eNom**

$$\text{Out[33]} = \frac{1 + g j (2 + g j (1 + eNom^2 (-1 + N)))}{(1 + g j) (2 + g j (2 + eNom (-2 + N)))}$$

which is smaller than 1

In[34]:= **FullSimplify**[**Together**[**1 - hj**]]

$$\text{Out[34]} = - \frac{(-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j) (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))}{(1 + g j) (2 + g j (2 + eNom (-2 + N)))}$$

and

In[35]:= **FullSimplify**[**D**[**hj**, **N**]]

$$\text{Out[35]} = - \frac{eNom g j (-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2}{(1 + g j) (2 + g j (2 + eNom (-2 + N)))^2}$$

In[36]:= **hjLimN** = **FullSimplify**[**Limit**[**hj**, **N** → **Infinity**]]

$$\text{Out[36]} = \frac{eNom g j}{1 + g j}$$

In[37]:= **FullSimplify**[**Limit**[**hjLimN**, **j** → **Infinity**]]

$$\text{Out[37]} = eNom$$

In[38]:=

Remove [p11]

## Appendix A: Proof of Proposition 1

The covariance matrix is defined by four elements  $p_j^{(0,0)}$ ,  $p_j^{(0,1)}$ ,  $p_j^{(1,1)}$ ,  $p_j^{(1,2)}$ . These are denoted by  $p00[j\_]$ ,  $p01[j\_]$ ,  $p11[j\_]$ ,  $p12[j\_]$  below.

(I) *Explicit representation of the Kalman gains  $K_j$ .*

First, we derive an explicit representation of the Kalman gains  $K_j$ . The Kalman gain is defined by the three scalar values  $k_j^{(0,1)}$ ,  $k_j^{(1,1)}$ ,  $k_j^{(1,2)}$  denoted by  $k01j$ ,  $k11j$ ,  $k12j$  below. In Schöllig et al. (2010), the Kalman gains were given in terms of the matrix  $S_j$ , see Section 3, eq.(19), which is analogously defined by four scalar values  $s_j^{(0,0)}$ ,  $s_j^{(0,1)}$ ,  $s_j^{(1,1)}$ ,  $s_j^{(1,2)}$ . From Schöllig et al. (2010) eq. (43) with the nominal disturbance variances  $\bar{\alpha}$ ,  $\bar{\beta}$  (denoted by  $aNom$ ,  $bNom$ )

In[39]:=  $s00[j\_]$  = FullSimplify[(1 + j bNom) aNom / (1 + j bNom + j N aNom) /. {aNom → eNom g, bNom → (1 - eNom) g}]

Out[39]= 
$$\frac{eNom\ g\ (1 + g\ (j - eNom\ j))}{1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N))}$$

In[40]:=  $s01[j\_]$  = FullSimplify[aNom / (1 + j bNom + j N aNom) /. {aNom → eNom g, bNom → (1 - eNom) g}]

Out[40]= 
$$\frac{eNom\ g}{1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N))}$$

In[41]:=  $s11[j\_]$  = FullSimplify[(aNom + bNom + j bNom^2 + j N aNom bNom) / (1 + j bNom) / (1 + j bNom + j N aNom) /. {aNom → eNom g, bNom → (1 - eNom) g}]

Out[41]= 
$$\frac{g\ (-1 + (-1 + eNom)\ g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom)\ g\ j)\ (1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))}$$

In[42]:=  $s12[j\_]$  = FullSimplify[aNom / (1 + j bNom) / (1 + j bNom + j N aNom) /. {aNom → eNom g, bNom → (1 - eNom) g}]

Out[42]= 
$$-\frac{eNom\ g}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom)\ g\ j)\ (1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))}$$

From Schöllig et al. (2010) eq. (41) with (38) and (39), we obtain the closed-form representation of the Kalman gains.

In[43]:=  $n1$  = FullSimplify[1 +  $s11[j - 1]$  -  $s12[j - 1]$ ]

Out[43]= 
$$\frac{-1 + (-1 + eNom)\ g\ j}{-1 + (-1 + eNom)\ g\ (-1 + j)}$$

In[44]:=  $n2$  = FullSimplify[1 +  $s11[j - 1]$  + (N - 1)  $s12[j - 1]$ ]

Out[44]= 
$$\frac{1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N))}{1 + g\ (-1 + j)\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N))}$$

In[45]:=  $m11$  = FullSimplify[(1 +  $s11[j - 1]$  + (N - 2)  $s12[j - 1]$ ) /  $n1$  /  $n2$ ]

Out[45]= 
$$\frac{-1 + g\ (1 - j\ (2 + eNom\ (-2 + N))) + (-1 + eNom)\ g^2\ (-1 + j)\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N))}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom)\ g\ j)\ (1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))}$$

In[46]:=  $m12$  = FullSimplify[- $s12[j - 1]$  /  $n1$  /  $n2$ ]

Out[46]= 
$$\frac{eNom\ g}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom)\ g\ j)\ (1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))}$$

In[47]:= **k01j** = **FullSimplify**[**s01**[j - 1] (**m11** + (**N** - 1) **m12**)]

Out[47]= 
$$\frac{eNom\ g}{1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N))}$$

In[48]:= **k12j** = **FullSimplify**[**s11**[j - 1] **m12** + **s12**[j - 1] **m11** + (**N** - 2) **s12**[j - 1] **m12**]

Out[48]= 
$$-\frac{eNom\ g}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom)\ g\ j)\ (1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))}$$

In[49]:= **k11j** = **FullSimplify**[**s11**[j - 1] **m11** + (**N** - 1) **s12**[j - 1] **m12**]

Out[49]= 
$$\frac{g\ (-1 + (-1 + eNom)\ g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom)\ g\ j)\ (1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))}$$

In[50]:= **check** = **FullSimplify**[**k11j** - **fj**]

Out[50]= 0

(II) Recursive equations for  $p_j^{(0,0)}$ ,  $p_j^{(0,1)}$ ,  $p_j^{(1,1)}$ ,  $p_j^{(1,2)}$

Second, from eq. (22) with the closed form of the Kalman gain  $K_j$  derived above, recursive equations are derived for  $p_j^{(0,0)}$ ,  $p_j^{(0,1)}$ ,  $p_j^{(1,1)}$ ,  $p_j^{(1,2)}$ . Matrices of intermediate results are symmetric and represented by its scalar entries similar to how it was done for  $S_j$ .

Compute  $A = (I - K_j H) P_{j-1}$ .

In[51]:= **a00** = **FullSimplify**[**p00**[j - 1] - **N** **k01j** **p01**[j - 1]]

Out[51]= 
$$p00[-1 + j] - \frac{eNom\ g\ N\ p01[-1 + j]}{1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N))}$$

In[52]:= **a01** = **FullSimplify**[**p01**[j - 1] - **p11**[j - 1] **k01j** - (**N** - 1) **p12**[j - 1] **k01j**]

Out[52]= 
$$\frac{(1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))\ p01[-1 + j] - eNom\ g\ (p11[-1 + j] + (-1 + N)\ p12[-1 + j])}{1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N))}$$

In[53]:= **a10** = **FullSimplify**[(1 - **k11j** - (**N** - 1) **k12j**) **p01**[j - 1]]

Out[53]= 
$$\frac{(1 + g\ (-1 + j)\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))\ p01[-1 + j]}{1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N))}$$

In[54]:= **a11** = **FullSimplify**[(1 - **k11j**) **p11**[j - 1] - (**N** - 1) **k12j** **p12**[j - 1]]

Out[54]= 
$$\left( (-1 + g\ (1 - j\ (2 + eNom\ (-2 + N))) + (-1 + eNom)\ g^2\ (-1 + j)\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))\ p11[-1 + j] + eNom\ g\ (-1 + N)\ p12[-1 + j] \right) / ((-1 + (-1 + eNom)\ g\ j)\ (1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N))))$$

In[55]:= **a12** = **FullSimplify**[(1 - **k11j**) **p12**[j - 1] - **k12j** (**p11**[j - 1] + (**N** - 2) **p12**[j - 1])]

Out[55]= 
$$p12[-1 + j] + \frac{eNom\ g\ p11[-1 + j] + g\ (1 + g\ j + eNom\ (-2 + N + g\ j\ (-2 + eNom + N - eNom\ N)))\ p12[-1 + j]}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom)\ g\ j)\ (1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))}$$

Compute  $C = A (I - K_j H)^T$ .

In[56]:= **c00** = **FullSimplify**[**a00** - **N** **k01j** **a01**]

Out[56]= 
$$p00[-1 + j] + \frac{eNom\ g\ N\ (-2\ (1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))\ p01[-1 + j] + eNom\ g\ (p11[-1 + j] + (-1 + N)\ p12[-1 + j]))}{(1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))^2}$$

In[57]:= **c01** = **FullSimplify**[**a01** (1 - **k11j** - (**N** - 1) **k12j**)]

Out[57]= 
$$\frac{(1 + g\ (-1 + j)\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))\ ((1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))\ p01[-1 + j] - eNom\ g\ (p11[-1 + j] + (-1 + N)\ p12[-1 + j]))}{(1 + g\ j\ (1 + eNom\ (-1 + N)))^2}$$

In[58]:= **c10 = FullSimplify[a10 - k01j (a11 + (N - 1) a12)]**

Out[58]= 
$$\frac{((1 + g(-1 + j))(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))((1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))p01[-1 + j] - eNom g(p11[-1 + j] + (-1 + N)p12[-1 + j]))}{(1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))^2}$$

In[59]:= **c11 = FullSimplify[(1 - k11j) a11 - (N - 1) k12j a12]**

Out[59]= 
$$\left( \left( 1 + \frac{g(1 - (-1 + eNom) g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)(1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))} \right) \left( (-1 + g(1 - j(2 + eNom(-2 + N)))) + (-1 + eNom) g^2(-1 + j) j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)) \right) p11[-1 + j] + eNom g(-1 + N) p12[-1 + j] \right) + eNom g(-1 + N) \left( p12[-1 + j] + \frac{eNom g p11[-1 + j] + g(1 + g j + eNom(-2 + N + g j(-2 + eNom + N - eNom N))) p12[-1 + j]}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)(1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))} \right) \right) / ((-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)(1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N))))$$

In[60]:= **c12 = FullSimplify[(1 - k11j) a12 - k12j a11 - (N - 2) k12j a12]**

Out[60]= 
$$\left( eNom g(-2 - g(-1 + 2 j)(2 + eNom(-2 + N))) + 2(-1 + eNom) g^2(-1 + j) j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)) \right) p11[-1 + j] + (1 + 2 g(-1 + 2 j + eNom(-1 + j)(-2 + N)) - 2(-1 + eNom) g^3(-1 + j) j(-1 + 2 j + eNom(-1 + j)(-2 + N)) (1 + eNom(-1 + N)) + (-1 + eNom)^2 g^4(-1 + j)^2 j^2(1 + eNom(-1 + N))^2 + g^2(1 + 6(-1 + j) j + 2 eNom(-1 + j)(-1 + 3 j)(-2 + N) + eNom^2(3 + (-3 + N)N + j^2(6 + (-6 + N)N) - 2 j(5 + (-5 + N)N))) p12[-1 + j] \right) / ((-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2 (1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N))))^2$$

Compute  $P_j = C + K_j K_j^T$ . We call the resulting matrix entries *p00Recursive* etc. in contrast to *p00[j\_]* which will represent the closed-form representation of  $P_j$ .

In[61]:= **p00Recursive = FullSimplify[c00 + N k01j k01j]**

Out[61]= 
$$\frac{p00[-1 + j] + eNom g N(-2(1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))p01[-1 + j] + eNom g(1 + p11[-1 + j] + (-1 + N)p12[-1 + j]))}{(1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))^2}$$

In[62]:= **p01Recursive = FullSimplify[c01 + k01j (k11j + (N - 1) k12j)]**

Out[62]= 
$$\frac{(eNom g^2(1 + eNom(-1 + N)) + (1 + g(-1 + j)(1 + eNom(-1 + N))))((1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))p01[-1 + j] - eNom g(p11[-1 + j] + (-1 + N)p12[-1 + j]))}{(1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))^2}$$

In[63]:= **p10Recursive = FullSimplify[c10 + k01j (k11j + (N - 1) k12j)]**

Out[63]= 
$$\frac{(eNom g^2(1 + eNom(-1 + N)) + (1 + g(-1 + j)(1 + eNom(-1 + N))))((1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))p01[-1 + j] - eNom g(p11[-1 + j] + (-1 + N)p12[-1 + j]))}{(1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))^2}$$

In[64]:= **p11Recursive = FullSimplify[c11 + k11j k11j + (N - 1) k12j k12j]**

Out[64]= 
$$\left( g^2(-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))^2 + eNom^2 g^2(-1 + N) + (-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)(1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N))) \left( \left( 1 + \frac{g(1 - (-1 + eNom) g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)(1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))} \right) \left( (-1 + g(1 - j(2 + eNom(-2 + N)))) + (-1 + eNom) g^2(-1 + j) j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)) \right) p11[-1 + j] + eNom g(-1 + N) p12[-1 + j] \right) + eNom g(-1 + N) \left( p12[-1 + j] + \frac{eNom g p11[-1 + j] + g(1 + g j + eNom(-2 + N + g j(-2 + eNom + N - eNom N))) p12[-1 + j]}{(-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)(1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N)))} \right) \right) \right) / ((-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2 (1 + g j(1 + eNom(-1 + N))))^2$$

In[65]:= **p12Recursive = FullSimplify[c12 + 2 k11j k12j + (N - 2) k12j k12j]**

Out[65]= 
$$\begin{aligned} & \left( eNom g^2 (2 + 2 g j + eNom (-2 + N + 2 g j (-2 + eNom + N - eNom N))) + \right. \\ & eNom g (-2 - g (-1 + 2 j) (2 + eNom (-2 + N)) + 2 (-1 + eNom) g^2 (-1 + j) j (1 + eNom (-1 + N))) p11[-1 + j] + \\ & (1 + 2 g (-1 + 2 j + eNom (-1 + j) (-2 + N)) - 2 (-1 + eNom) g^3 (-1 + j) j (-1 + 2 j + eNom (-1 + j) (-2 + N)) \\ & (1 + eNom (-1 + N)) + (-1 + eNom)^2 g^4 (-1 + j)^2 j^2 (1 + eNom (-1 + N))^2 + g^2 (1 + 6 (-1 + j) j + \\ & 2 eNom (-1 + j) (-1 + 3 j) (-2 + N) + eNom^2 (3 + (-3 + N) N + j^2 (6 + (-6 + N) N) - 2 j (5 + (-5 + N) N))) p12[-1 + j] \Big) / \Big( (-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2 (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2 \Big) \end{aligned}$$

*(III) Explicit representation of  $p_j^{(0,0)}$ ,  $p_j^{(0,1)}$ ,  $p_j^{(1,1)}$ ,  $p_j^{(1,2)}$  (Induction Hypothesis)*

Third, we introduce the closed-form representation of  $P_j$  (our guess) which we will prove by induction given the recursive equation above.

In[66]:= **p00[j\_] = FullSimplify[**  

$$(g ((d + eNom) (1 + g (j - eNom j))^2 + eNom^2 g j (1 - (-1 + d + eNom) g j) N)) / (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2]$$
  

$$g ((d + eNom) (1 + g (j - eNom j))^2 + eNom^2 g j (1 - (-1 + d + eNom) g j) N) / (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2]$$

In[67]:= **p01[j\_] = FullSimplify[(g (d + eNom + d g j + eNom g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))) / (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2]**  

$$g (d + eNom + d g j + eNom g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N))) / (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2]$$

In[68]:= **p10[j\_] = FullSimplify[(g (d + eNom + d g j + eNom g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))) / (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2]**  

$$g (d + eNom + d g j + eNom g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N))) / (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2]$$

In[69]:= **check = p01[j] - p01[j]**

Out[69]= 0

In[70]:= **p11[j\_] = FullSimplify[**  

$$(g ((-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j) (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N))) (-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N))) - d eNom g j (2 + g j (2 + eNom (-2 + N))) (-1 + N))) / ((-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2 (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2)]$$

Out[70]= 
$$(g ((-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j) (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N))) (-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N))) - d eNom g j (2 + g j (2 + eNom (-2 + N))) (-1 + N))) / ((-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2 (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2)$$

In[71]:= **p12[j\_] = FullSimplify[**  

$$(g (d (1 + g j (2 + g j (1 + eNom^2 (-1 + N)))) - eNom (-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j) (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))) / ((-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2 (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2)]$$

Out[71]= 
$$g (d (1 + g j (2 + g j (1 + eNom^2 (-1 + N)))) - eNom (-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j) (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))) / ((-1 + (-1 + eNom) g j)^2 (1 + g j (1 + eNom (-1 + N)))^2)$$

*(IV) Explicit representation of  $P_j$  is true for  $j=0$ . (Base Case)*

For  $j=1$ , the closed-form representation results in the true initial noise variance given by eq. (9) (cf. also eq. (20)). Recall that  $\epsilon = \bar{\epsilon} + \delta$  which represents the real disturbance partitioning.

In[72]:= **p00[0]**

Out[72]=  $(d + eNom) g$

In[73]:= **p10[0]**

Out[73]=  $(d + eNom) g$

In[74]:= **p11[0]**

Out[74]=  $g$



In[75]:= **p12[0]**

Out[75]=  $(d + eNom) g$

*(V) Explicit representation of  $P_j$  satisfies the recursive equations. (Inductive Step)*

We plug in  $p00[j-1]$ ,  $p01[j-1]$ ,  $p10[j-1]$ ,  $p11[j-1]$ ,  $p12[j-1]$  into the derived recursive equations  $p00Recursive$ ,  $p01Recursive$  etc. and show that the value equals  $p00[j]$ ,  $p01[j]$ ,  $p10[j]$ ,  $p11[j]$ ,  $p12[j]$ . q.e.d

In[76]:= **FullSimplify[p00Recursive - p00[j]]**

Out[76]= 0

In[77]:= **FullSimplify[p01Recursive - p01[j]]**

Out[77]= 0

In[78]:= **FullSimplify[p10Recursive - p10[j]]**

Out[78]= 0

In[79]:= **FullSimplify[p11Recursive - p11[j]]**

Out[79]= 0

In[80]:= **FullSimplify[p12Recursive - p12[j]]**

Out[80]= 0